

# **CO<sub>2</sub> Mineralization for *in situ* Storage and *ex situ* Enhanced Metals Recovery Workshop Day 2**

Thursday July 15, 2021

# Chemical Approaches (Breakout 1)

---

► Moderator: Jenifer Shafer, Program Director, ARPA-E

Notes: Kalena Stovall, Tech SETA

1. For any mineralization - *ex situ*/surficial/*in situ* – base metrics should include reaction rates, conversion/yield efficiency, product distributions, extent of reaction per unit time, and analytical method.
  - What values can be assigned to these and how can they be measured?
  - Does the mineralization impact critical minerals for energy liberation?
2. Are there additional metrics which need to be included for *ex situ*/surficial/*in situ* approaches?
3. What needs to be in the LCA for this approach, process and reaction?
4. What is the estimated expense of each approach with/without carbon credits/pricing?
5. How quickly could we expect to be ready for deployment?

# Chemical Approaches (Breakout 2)

---

► Moderator: Scott Litzelman, Program Director, ARPA-E      Notes: Carlos Noyes, Tech SETA

1. For any mineralization - *ex situ*/surficial/*in situ* – base metrics should include reaction rates, conversion/yield efficiency, product distributions, extent of reaction per unit time, and analytical method.
  - What values can be assigned to these and how can they be measured?
  - Will mineralization improve recovery of energy relevant minerals?
2. For *in situ*, what key process constraints need be included and controlled/monitored/measured; e.g. rate of fluid injection, *in situ* pressure, water-CO<sub>2</sub> ratio, catalyst concentration, *etc.*?
3. How large can these processes be scaled to: megatonnes, gigatonnes, unknown . . . ?
4. Are major technical improvements needed to make these processes viable and more cost effective?

# Chemical Approaches (Breakout 3)

---

- Moderator: Bob Ledoux, Program Director, ARPA-E      Notes: Kate Pitman, Tech SETA
1. For any mineralization - *ex situ*/surficial/*in situ* – base metrics should include reaction rates, conversion/yield efficiency, product distributions, extent of reaction per unit time, and analytical method.
    - What values can be assigned to these and how can they be measured?
    - Will mineralization improve recovery of energy relevant minerals?
  2. For *in situ*, what key process constraints need be included and controlled/monitored/measured; e.g. rate of fluid injection, *in situ* pressure, water-CO<sub>2</sub> ratio, catalyst concentration, *etc.*?
  3. How large can these processes be scaled to: megatonnes, gigatonnes, unknown . . . ?
  4. Are major technical improvements needed to make these processes viable and more cost effective?

# Microbiological Approaches (Breakout 1)

---

► Moderator: David Babson, Program Director, ARPA-E      Notes: Grace Ryan, Tech SETA

1. For any mineralization - *ex situ*/surficial/*in situ* – base metrics should include reaction rates, conversion/yield efficiency, product distributions, extent of reaction per unit time, and analytical method.
  - What values can be assigned to these and how can they be measured?
  - Does the mineralization impact critical minerals for energy liberation?
2. Are there additional metrics which need to be included for *ex situ*/surficial/*in situ* approaches?
3. What needs to be in the LCA for this approach, process and reaction?
4. What is the estimated expense of each approach with/without carbon credits/pricing?
5. How quickly could we expect to be ready for deployment?

# Microbiological Approaches (Breakout 2)

---

- Moderator: Marc von Keitz, Program Director, ARPA-E      Notes: Laura Demetrion, Tech SETA
1. For any mineralization - *ex situ*/surficial/*in situ* – base metrics should include reaction rates, conversion/yield efficiency, product distributions, extent of reaction per unit time, and analytical method.
    - What values can be assigned to these and how can they be measured?
    - Will mineralization improve recovery of energy relevant minerals?
  2. For *in situ*, what key process constraints need be included and controlled/monitored/measured; e.g. rate of fluid injection, *in situ* pressure, water-CO<sub>2</sub> ratio, catalyst concentration, *etc.*?
  3. How large can these processes be scaled to: megatonnes, gigatonnes, unknown . . . ?
  4. Are major technical improvements needed to make these processes viable and more cost effective?

# Electrochemical Approaches (Breakout 1)

---

- Moderator: Halle Cheeseman, Program Director, ARPA-E      Notes: Matt Mattozzi, Tech SETA
1. For any mineralization - *ex situ*/surficial/*in situ* – base metrics should include reaction rates, conversion/yield efficiency, product distributions, extent of reaction per unit time, and analytical method.
    - What values can be assigned to these and how can they be measured?
    - Does the mineralization impact critical minerals for energy liberation?
  2. Are there additional metrics which need to be included for *ex situ*/surficial/*in situ* approaches?
  3. What needs to be in the LCA for this approach, process and reaction?
  4. What is the estimated expense of each approach with/without carbon credits/pricing?
  5. How quickly could we expect to be ready for deployment?

# Phytomining Approaches (Breakout 1)

---

▶ Moderator: Phil Kim, Program Director, ARPA-E      Notes: David Lee, Tech SETA

1. For any mineralization – base metrics should include reaction rates, conversion/yield efficiency, product distributions, extent of reaction per unit time, and analytical method.
  - What values can be assigned to these and how can they be measured?
  - Will phytomining improve recovery of energy relevant minerals in the U.S.?
  - Can any ancillary benefits of phytomining be assessed?
2. Are there additional metrics which need to be included for phytomining, especially any that would not apply to *ex situ*/surficial/*in situ* approaches?
3. What components should be incorporated into the LCA for phytomining? Can phytomining be carbon-negative?
4. Can phytomining be profitable with/without carbon credits/pricing?
5. How quickly could we expect to be ready for deployment?